

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,120	04/01/2004	Eiju Komuro	119346	8012
25944	7590 01/30/2006		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			DOUGHERTY, THOMAS M	
P.O. BOX 19 ALEXANDE	9928 RIA, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•——••			2834	
			DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\forall$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_		
	10/814,120	KOMURO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thomas M. Dougherty	2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' , cause the application to become AB	CATION.  ply be timely filed  IHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 December 2005</u>.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b)∑ This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>					
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-6 is/are withdrawn 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 April 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ object drawing(s) be held in abeyan ion is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/1/04.	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/814,120

Art Unit: 2834

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/29/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons cited in the election/restriction requirement.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamada et al. (US 2002/0190814). Yamada et al. show (fig. 23) a thin-film bulk acoustic oscillator comprising: a piezoelectric thin film (16) that exhibits a piezoelectric property; a first electrode (15) and a second electrode (17) that are disposed on both surfaces of the piezoelectric thin film (16) and apply an excitation voltage to the piezoelectric thin film (16) and a base (112): wherein: the first electrode (15), the piezoelectric thin film (106)and the second electrode (17) are stacked in this order on the base (112); and a surface of the piezoelectric thin film (16) close to the second electrode (17) has a root mean square roughness of 2 nanometers or smaller. See col. 13, lines 51-60 in which the thickness of the AlN or AnO layer is 0.1  $\mu$ m to 2  $\mu$ m and the RMS variation "with respect to the upper surface of the piezoelectric layer 62, the RMS variation of the height is preferably equal to 5% or less of the thickness (average value) of the piezoelectric layer." Note that 5% or less of 0.1 mm is less than 5 nm, ergo within the applicants' claimed range.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,120 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

As noted, the piezoelectric thin film (16) is made of zinc oxide or aluminum nitride.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The remaining prior art cited reads on some aspects of the applicants' claimed invention.

Direct inquiry to Examiner Dougherty at (571) 272-2022.

January 26, 2006

TOM DOUGHERTY
PRIMARY EXAMINER